

FILED NOV 27 1640USDCORE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

KEVIN S. CURTIS,)
)
)
Plaintiff,)
) Civil No. 05-1525-TC
v.)
)
) ORDER
CITY OF REDMOND, an incorpora-)
ted subdivision of the State)
of Oregon; and MICHAEL)
PATTERSON, city manager of the)
City of Redmond, in his)
official capacity and as an)
individual,)
)
Defendants.)
)

Magistrate Judge Thomas M. Coffin filed Findings and Recommendation on September 18, 2006, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo


determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Plaintiff has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given de novo review of Magistrate Judge Coffin's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Coffin's Findings and Recommendation filed September 18, 2006, in its entirety. Defendants' motion for summary judgment (#20) is granted and this case is dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 27th day of Nov., 2006.



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE